



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/688,668	10/16/2000	Richard Ian Laming	DY0UP0203US	3601

7590 04/02/2003

Don W. Bulson, Esq.
Renner, Otto, Boisselle & Sklar, P.L.L.
19th Floor
1621 Euclid Ave.
Cleveland, OH 44115

EXAMINER

ANGEBRANNNDT, MARTIN J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1756	16

DATE MAILED: 04/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/688,668	LAMING ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Martin J Angebranndt	1756

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 26 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. **ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.** See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
 - (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 - (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: none.

Claim(s) objected to: none.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-11.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 12-26.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet


 Martin J Angebranndt
 Primary Examiner
 Art Unit: 1756

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The applicant has offered to cancel withdrawn claims 12-26 which were not finally rejected in an effort to obtain entry of the newly presented claims in the amendment. The amendment does not reduce the issues on appeal, but rather enlarges them due to the narrower scope of coverage. Further, claims 28-37 are identical to claims 2-11 which raises undue multiplicity issues as discussed in MPEP 2173.05 (n) and 37 CFR 1.75(b). These should likely be dependent upon claim 27, not claim 1.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The further limitation would still read on the intermediate product of Storoy et al. as the tuning exposure occurs after the polarized exposure. The fact that he tunes it later does not remove the fact that he made the untuned version first and then modified it. Although further consideration is necessary, the first blush is that the steps to reach the intermediate process are still either anticipated or obvious, depending upon if Storoy used the process of Erdogan et al. or not in the example. .

Continuation of 10. Other: Citation of Set et al. "high bitrate" was provided on the PTO 1449 filed 9/03/2002 and returned to the applicant with the office action of 11/12/2002..

4/1/03